1	BIEGING SHAPIRO & BARBER LLP  4582 S Ulster Street Parkway, Suite 1650 Denver, Colorado 80237 Telephone: (720) 488-0220 Facsimile: (720) 488-7711 Duncan E. Barber (Colorado Lic No. 16768) Steven T. Mulligan (Colorado Lic No. 19901)				
2					
3					
4					
5	dbarber@bsblawyers.com smulligan@bsblawyers.com				
6	Proposed Co-Counsel for Debtor				
	GUST ROSENFELD P.L.C.				
7	One E. Washington, Suite 1600 Phoenix, Arizona 85004				
8	Telephone No. 602.257.7422   Facsimile No. 602.254.4878				
9	Séan P. O'Brien - 010540   spobrien@gustlaw.com				
10	Proposed Co-Counsel for Debtor				
11	Attorneys for San Marcos Capital Partners, LP				
12					
13	United States Bankruptcy Court				
14	District Of Arizona				
15	In re: Case No. 2:11-bk-07144-GBN				
16	SAN MARCOS CAPITAL PARTNERS, LP, (Chapter 11)				
17	Debtor.				
18					
19	MOTION TO COMPEL TURNOVER BY RECEIVER				
20	San Marcos Capital Partners, LP (the "Debtor"),				
21	debtor and debtor-in-possession, moves the Court for an order				
22	compelling Smiling Hospitality, Inc. (the "Receiver"), a state				
23	court-appointed receiver, to relinquish and turnover control of				
24	the Debtor's assets to the Debtor, in accordance with to 11				
25	U.S.C. §§ 362(a) and 543(b(1), for administration in accordance				

with the Bankruptcy Code in this Chapter 11 case and for the benefit of all parties in interest herein.

In support thereof, Debtor respectfully states as follows:

- 1. Prior to the Petition Date, the Receiver had been appointed as receiver over the Debtor's assets pursuant to state law by a Maricopa County Superior Court, at the request of Guaranty Bank & Trust company (the "Guaranty Bank").
- 2. On March 21, 2011, one of the Debtor's proposed counsel, Duncan Barber of Bieging Shapiro & Barber LLP, orally requested that Guaranty Bank cooperate with the immediate turnover of the Debtor's assets by the Receiver.
- 3. On March 22, 2011, one of the Debtor's proposed counsel, Steve Mulligan of Bieging Shapiro & Barber LLP, informed Lewis J. Rotman, counsel for the Receiver, via email and U.S. mail, that Debtor had filed the Bankruptcy Case and requested that the Receiver coordinate with the Debtor for "an orderly transition of operations and for the return of the Debtor's property." See, letter from Steven T. Mulligan dated March 22, 2011, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 4. The Receiver has refused to turnover the Debtor's property.
- 5. 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(3) provides that upon a debtor's filing of a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, all entities are automatically stayed from taking any action in an effort to "obtain possession of

2.2

- 6. The automatic stay is one of a debtor's fundamental bankruptcy rights, which provides a debtor with breathing room so that it can formulate a plan of reorganization that assures a fair and equitable distribution to creditors. See, Lawrence P. King, COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY, 362.03 (15<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Thus, "[t]he automatic stay is one of the fundamental debtor protections provided by the bankruptcy laws." Harsh Investment Corp. v. Bialac (In re Bialac), 712 F.2d 426, 431 (Bankr. D.Ariz. 1983), quoting H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, 95<sup>th</sup> Cong., 2d Sess at 340 (1977), U.S.Code Cong. & Admin. News, 1978, at 6296.
- 7. Disregarding this well established principal, and being fully informed that the Debtor is in bankruptcy and that any efforts to exercise control over the assets of the Debtor's estate would violate the automatic stay, the Receiver has knowingly and willingly violated 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) by refusing to turn over the Debtor's assets.
  - 8. Moreover, 11 U.S.C. § 543(b)(1), provides
    - (b) A custodian shall (1) deliver to the trustee any property of the debtor held by or transferred to such custodian . . . that is in such custodian's possession, custody, or control on the date that such custodian acquires knowledge of the commencement of the case . . .
- 9. Receivers are not exempt from the requirement to turnover receivership property to bankruptcy trustees and debtors in possession. In re Corporate and Leisure Event

2.2

Productions, Inc., 351 B.R. 724, 729 (Bankr. D.Ariz. 2006).

This has been the case since at least 1938, when the Chandler

Act codified the prior case law that a bankruptcy case

supersedes a state receivership and that a state receiver is

required to turn over the estate assets to a debtor in

possession. Id., citing Bankruptcy Act § 2(a)(21), predecessor

to 11 U.S.C. § 543.

- 10. As set forth in <u>Corporate and Leisure</u>, "[the] legislative history expressly recognized that there was nothing in the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 that required receivers to be divested of property when the debtor is thrown into bankruptcy, but 'the courts have supplied the gap and the bill codifies that result.'" <u>Id</u>. at 732, <u>citing H.R. 6439</u>, 75<sup>th</sup> Cong., 1<sup>st</sup> Sess 12 (1937), quoted in 1 James Wm. Moore, et al., COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY, ¶ 2.77, at 390.8 & (1) (14<sup>th</sup> ed. 1974).
- 11. The Receiver's refusal to turnover the Debtor's assets is a knowing and willing violation of 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(a) and 543(b).
- 12. The Debtor seeks the immediate turnover of its assets for administration in this Chapter 11 case in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. A receiver is not necessary. This is self-evident by the fact that following the Receiver's appointment, nothing changed operationally at the Debtor's resort property.

  Virtually all employees were retained by the Receiver. The Debtor's pre-receiver manager was retained by the Receiver and

	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

continues in substantially the same role as prior to the appointment of the Receiver. Indeed, the only impact the Receiver appears to have had on operations was increased fees and costs associated with the additional administrative overlay of the receivership and movement of various contracts and accounts from the Debtor's name to that of the Receiver.

- 14. The Debtor understands, based upon recent discussions, that Guaranty Bank intends to file a motion to excuse turnover of Debtor's assets under 11 U.S.C. § 543(d).
- 15. The Debtor respectfully seeks an expedited hearing on this Motion and the relief sought herein as well as on any motion filed by Guaranty Bank under 11 U.S.C. § 543(d). A separate motion requesting an expedited hearing on the Motion will be filed.

## CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, the Debtor respectfully urges the Court to enter an order directing the Receiver to immediately turnover the Debtor's assets and for such further and additional relief as to the Court may appear proper under the circumstances.

DATED this 25th day of March, 2011.

GUST ROSENFELD P.L.C.

By:/s/ Sean P. O'Brien - 010540

Sean P. O'Brien

and

26

24

1		IEGING SHAPIRO & BARBER LLP uncan E. Barber - 16768		
2				
3	f I	roposed Attorneys for San Marcos apital Partners, LP		
4				
5				
6 7	ORIGINAL of the foregoing electronically filed this 25th day of March, 2011, with:			
8	United States Bankruptcy Court			
9	District of Arizona 230 North First Avenue Suite 101			
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1706 https://ecf.azb.uscourts.gov			
11				
12	COPIES of the foregoing mailed or emailed this 25th day of March, 2011, to:			
13	John J. Fries			
14	William Scott Jenkins, Jr. RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE One North Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4417			
15				
16	jfries@rcalaw.com sjenkins@rcalaw.com			
17	Attorneys for Guaranty Bank and	Trust Company		
18	Richard H. Herold Ian D. Quinn HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP 3200 North Central Avenue, Suite 800			
19				
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2428 rherold@hinshawlaw.com			
21	iquinn@hinshawlaw.com  Attorneys for Smiling Hospitalit	cy, Inc.		
22	U.S. Trustee			
23	Office of the U.S. Trustee 230 N. First Avenue, Suite 204			
24	Phoenix, AZ 85003 U.S./Trustee			
25	/S/ Linda L. Armijo			